

WIFE TREATED HIM CRUELLY

So Alleges Dr. Bruguiere in Second Complaint for Divorce Filed Saturday

Another chapter has been added to the Bruguiere divorce suit. The doctor has filed, close upon the heels of the dismissal of his first action, a second suit which alleges cruelty as well as desertion. The case will probably come up some time during the autumn and some interesting developments may be looked for.

The second suit was filed at noon Saturday and the utmost care was urged by his attorney William B. Craig to keep the matter a secret until service could be secured upon Mrs. Bruguiere. In this Mr. Craig was successful as the matter was not made public until yesterday. An effort was made last evening to secure service on Mrs. Bruguiere at a San Francisco theater.

The main allegations in Dr. Bruguiere's new complaint are:

That since said marriage the defendant, disregarding the solemnity of her marriage vow, has treated this plaintiff with extreme cruelty, and in particular as follows, to-wit: That during the months of January, February, March, April, May, June and July of 1904, the defendant constantly accused the plaintiff falsely of committing adultery, said false accusations having been made in the hearing of others by the defendant, knowingly, designedly, maliciously and for the purpose of degrading, humiliating and injuring the character and reputation of the plaintiff herein; that while plaintiff was practicing medicine in the city and county of San Francisco, state of California, during the months of January, February, March, April, May and June of 1904, defendant constantly followed plaintiff's female patients from plaintiff's office in the Starr-King building in said city of San Francisco, state of California, to the residences of such patients in said city, and knowingly, designedly, falsely, maliciously and for the purpose of injuring plaintiff's practice as a physician and surgeon and for the purpose of humiliating, degrading and injuring the character of this plaintiff, accused such patients of plaintiff of alienating plaintiff's affection from her, the defendant, all of which resulted in the impairment and ruination of plaintiff's practice as a physician and surgeon in said city of San Francisco; that at various times in the year 1904 defendant knowingly and designedly, with intent to injure the character of the plaintiff, falsely and slanderously represented to various persons, friends of the plaintiff in San Francisco, California, that he, the plaintiff, had made an assault with a deadly weapon, to wit: a pistol, upon her, the defendant; that each and every of the acts of extreme cruelty set forth in this paragraph four of this complaint have inflicted a course of great physical and mental anguish and suffering upon this plaintiff, which has resulted in the impairment of plaintiff's health and rendered his life miserable and unendurable, and that all and every of said acts of extreme cruelty above set forth are and remain entirely and wholly uncondoned and unforgiven.

Discussing the matter last evening with the Journal Dr. Bruguiere said:

"On Saturday at 12 o'clock in open court my attorney, William B. Craig, moved for a dismissal of the divorce proceedings which I brought against Mrs. Bruguiere. At precisely one minute after 12 noon of Saturday I swore to a new complaint before the clerk of the court in the court room and filed the complaint immediately with that official in open court.

"My attorney then presented to the judge an affidavit, also sworn to by me before the court clerk, after the filing of the complaint, which asked for an order of publication of summons, and Judge Curler promptly signed the order.

"Throughout this entire proceeding I have endeavored in every way to spare the feelings of Mrs. Bruguiere and treat her with the same consideration and courtesy which marked my relations with her when we were living together. And for that reason, at the inception of this case, I alleged only one ground of divorce, namely desertion, against her. However, Mrs. Bruguiere has seen fit to file in San Francisco an absurd suit against me, the allegations of which can only be accounted for in my mind on the theory that she and her attorney were both suffering from a pronounced and violent attack of hysteria. Because knowing as they both do that my residence is in Reno and not in San Francisco, and knowing also that my wife and child have always been and still are lavishly provided for by my mother it is unjust and uncalled for to accuse me of every crime in the calendar, from absconding from California to an intention at some future date to commit both perjury and bigamy.

"I considered it therefore only justice to myself, in order to set myself right in the eyes of the community, to file a new complaint, alleging at least one other of the many grounds for divorce which I have against Mrs. Bruguiere, in addition to the ground of desertion, which is again set forth in the new complaint. I am perfectly satisfied, however, that my case on the grounds of desertion can be absolutely proven by itself."